٠.					
			Co	py 8 of 10 Copies	
				4 APR 1975	ō
	MEMORANDUM FO	D. Dimeston	of Control Toto	17:	
			of Central Inte		
	SUBJECT		the Pilot Stud; gence Support to	y on National o Field Commanders	
	status report	to you on th		n National Intelli	
				reading the repor	t,
	we reasonably			racii progress as	
	2. You	should note t	wo things in par	rticular:	
	a.	The JCS and S	ervices are stil	ll reluctant to	
			or their intell:	igence force problem area that	
		have to watch		broprem greg cugo	
	b. '	The 1 July ta	rget date for ge	etting the report	
	to you man	ay slip. I a t sacrifice o	gree with Jack, uality for time	however, that we	
				t, we are making	
	progress.	ooks to me th	at, at long last	s, we are making	
				a ar Wilson	
			-	iel V. Wilson	
CSı	review completed.		Lieutenar	L V. Wilson nt General, USA /DCI/IC	
	Attachments:				
	As stated				
			·		
	· •				

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2009/10/21 : CIA-RDP80M01133A000500010032-8



25X1 25X1

3 April 1975

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Central Intelligence

SUBJECT

Status Report on the Pilot Study on

National Intelligence Support to Field

Commanders

- 1. The attached status report covers the background leading to the pilot study, highlights JCS and Service concerns, describes our progress so far, and outlines what we still have to do.
- 2. As you review this report, please keep in mind that the pilot study:
 - Has a limited purpose. Our principal goals are to size the problem, develop procedures, and gain insight into possible payoffs from studies of this kind.
 - Uses study group assumptions for national intelligence needs. We should have an authorative statement of national needs to focus future studies on specific possibilities for mutual support.
 - Uses a representative sample for theater intelligence needs. For more detailed studies, EEI will have to be more comprehensive and specific in terms of timeliness and accuracy.
 - Has a scenario limited in area and forces. The results will not give us a worldwide model.
 - Is complicated by Service reluctance to make intelligence force support assets visible. We think this hurdle has been overcome. It still bears watching.

Brigadier General, USAF PD/D/DCI/IC

25V1

Attachments:
As stated

25X1



25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2009/10/21 : CIA-RDP80M01133A000500010032-8

	Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2009/10/21 : CIA-RDP80M01133A000500010032-8
	25X1
	will be inadequate. Iney are, therefore, very defensive about their force support intelligence assets. They have concern that if made visible above the Service level:
25X1	These assets would be diverted from their primary missions to work on national intelligence tasks.
25X1	- Funding for these assets would be centralized across-the-board, taking from the Services responsibility for resource decisions for them.
25X1	These assets would be traded off for national systems incapable of supporting the forces in a timely and usable way, and unresponsive to the combat commanders in the field.
	Memorandum of Agreement
25X1	Against this background, in November 1973, you and Secretary Schlesinger appointed representatives who worked out an agreement for studying the tactical/national intelligence interface. This agreement called for the JCS to do six things:
25X1	- Determine with reasonable precision the tactical intelligence assets to be included in the study.
25X1	- Identify all tactical intelligence assets that contribute significantly to both national and tactical intelligence.
25X1	- Identify the peacetime, crisis and wartime requirements to which these assets respond.
25X1	- Compare these requirements with support available from national sources.
25X1	- Identify military force requirements that could be satisfied by national assets.
25X1	- Identify the contribution to the national intelligence gence effort that can be made by tactical intelligence assets.
25X1	The IC Staff was to use the JCS report to develop recommendations to increase mutual support and eliminate duplication. The JCS, however, unable to overcome their defensiveness about force support assets, did only one of the six tasks. Their report on non-CDIP assets that contribute

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2009/10/21 : CIA-RDP80M01133A000500010032-8	
to national intelligence was sent to you by Secretary Clements in May 1974. After six months, we were back to just about where we started.	25X1
The Pilot Study	
To get back on track, you proposed to Secretary Schlesinger, in June 1974, that the next step should be a study to develop specific recommendations for mutual intelligence support between the national level and the operating forces. You suggested starting with a pilot study to size the problem, develop procedures, and gain insight into the payoffs that are possible. By August 1974, we had approved terms of reference for this pilot study. This is our current effort and the focus of the report that follows.	
Other Related Studies	
You should bear in mind that this pilot study is only one of many linked to the tactical/national interface. So far, we have identified over 50 related studies, reviews and exercises, some completed and some in progress. For example, here are a few of the more interesting:	
- An IRAC/IR&D Council study to identify R&D programs related to tactical SIGINT, and to recommend possibilities for inter-agency integration of effort.	
- A NSC Staff examination of tactical applications for national reconnaissance assets.	25X1
-	
We are building a working bibliography of these related efforts. It will be attached to the final pilot study report.	
Pilot Study Organization	
The study group for the pilot study has a member from each of the military Services, DIA, NSA, NRO, CIA, US European Command, the Joint Staff and the IC Staff.	
	25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

and DMA	A. Rear Admiral	Robert P. Hilt ly group. We e	restigative Service on, USN, Joint Sta ach have executive support us.	aff,
directi nations assets in ligh looks f nations intelli forces	zations as the standance and guidance all priorities and to meet the intent of those prior cor gaps in theat all system capabilizence needs and	tudy group, wor. The National assesses the elligence needs tites. The The ter intelligence lities. To do assesses the cut also broadly	bers from the same k under the study Working Group post capabilities of NI of theater comman eater Working Group e to be matched as this, it defines the apability of theat assesses theater	group's stulates FIP nders up gainst
will go	lents and put to ϵ	gether a final in JCS, and to	ate the working gratudy report. The General Wilson for etary of Defense.	at report
of US F commits war. T	orces in Europe US forces again	recommended a ast Soviet interationa	pilot study, the (contingency plan trvention in a Mid- l phases and stage	hat East
-				
-				
-				

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

closely.

The study group agreed to use this plan. For the pilot study, however, it decided to limit the scenario to the last ten days of the defensive phase and the conventional offensive operations phase (C+25 to C+48). This will meet all of our study objectives. It permits assessment of a broad range of national intelligence assets against representative combat intelligence requirements. It forces consideration of competing intelligence priorities between the national and theater levels. Intelligence Assets Both working groups have drawn up lists of intelligence assets for this pilot study. For the National Working Group, this includes the assets in the NFIP, except those under wartime operational control of theater forces. includes selected non-NFIP assets, such as SR-71's. Theater Working Group has a more difficult problem. The Services, still sensitive about making their force support intelligence assets visible, balked at identifying assets other than those already in the NFIP or listed in Secretary Clements' memorandum to you of 21 May 1974. this would have given a distorted picture of the theater's capability to satisfy its own and national requirements. The Service Operations Deputies discussed this problem in the JCS arena. They agreed that the Theater Working Group would develop its set of theater assets from the forces assigned in the contingency plan and from other forces the theater commander might identify for the pilot Intelligence capabilities below the Army brigade, Air Force wing and Navy task group levels will be considered in the aggregate. The Theater Working Group report won't identify specific assets below those levels. This decision should let us do the job. We will watch progress and results

25X1

TOP SECRET

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2009/10/21: CIA-RDP80M01133A000500010032-8
Intelligence Needs
At first, we started to develop a full set of theater intelligence needsessential elements of information, or EEI, for each level in the scenario from theater command on down. This would have resulted in thousands of EEItoo many to handle in this pilot study.
The study group decided to limit theater EEI to a vertical sample, cutting across all command levels. Working in Europe with officers from the theater and component commands, the working group chairmen developed 82 sample EEI. These EEI represent information needs of units from each of the Services. They can be played against each of the national and theater intelligence assets.
The pilot study also has to consider national information needs. They support assumptions about the availability of national intelligence assets for employment against theater EEI. The working groups also need them to broadly assess how well theater assets committed in the scenario can meet national requirements for the area of operations.
The study group found no statement of national level information needs for wartime. The KIQs, DCID 1/2 and JCS intelligence objectives and priorities all cut off at the period of tension leading to hostilities.
The National Working Group has the job of developing criteria and assumptions for national information needs and for determining the availability and capabilities of national assets. (National requirements for crises and wartime needs more discussion. It might be a good subject for the NSCIC Working Group.)
Pilot Study Status
Here's where we are now:
- The study and working groups are organized.
- A scenario that gets us down to the combat intelligence level has been selected.
- National intelligence assets are listed; theater assets are hopefully under control.
- A good sample set of theater EEI has been developed.

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

	Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2009/10/21: CIA-RDP80M01133A000500010032-8
	25X1
25X1	- A set of criteria and assumptions for national intelligence needs and for determining the availability and capabilities of national assets is close to completion.
25X1	- Analysis has started where we have the data.
25X1	Briefly, here's what we still have to do:
25X1	- (Analyze theater capabilities to meet theater EEI and find the gaps.
25X1	- Analyze national capabilities to meet theater EEI and fill the gaps.
25X1	- Broadly analyze theater capabilities to meet assumed national information needs for the scenario area of operations.
25X1	- Collate these analyses.
	Study Methodology
25X1	The working groups will define criteria for assessing national and theater collection and reporting capabilities. They will use these criteria, and assumptions for the area of operations, availability, priorities, timeliness and weather, to estimate how well assets can satisfy needs. These estimates will be stated as confidence factors—high (60% or greater chance of meeting the need), moderate (40% to 59% chance), low (less than 40% chance), none and unknown.
	Expected Study Results
25X1	Bearing in mind the limited purpose of this pilot study, here's what you can expect to get from it:
25X1	Insight into the scope and complexity of the tactical/national intelligence interface. This is a basic need for planning.
25X1	- One methodology for analyzing interaction between tactical and national intelligence needs and capabilities. By comparing this to other methods, we can develop our approach to future studies.
25X1	A model for looking at intelligence relationships between the theater and national levels. This should give insight into the areas where mutual support between NFIP and force support intelligence assets has the best payoff potential.

	Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2009/10/21 : CIA-RDP80M01133A000500010032-8		
		25X1	
25X1	- Recommendations for possible improvements that can be made now.		
25X1	- Recommendations for future studies.		
25X1	- And, hopefully, intelligence support options that the JCS and our forces in Europe can crank into their current contingency planning.		
	Study Limitations		
25X1	Limitations that the study group deliberately imposed on itself will condition the scope and depth of these study results. Briefly:		
25X1	- The scenario is limited to the Mid-East.		
25X1	Theater forces are limited to units designated in the contingency plan.		
25X1	- The operational environment is limited to conventional warfare.		
25X1	- EEI for theater forces are limited to a representative sample.		
25X1	- National information needs are limited to study group assumptions.		
25X1	- The political situation is limited to actual conditions during the 1973 Arab-Israeli war.		
		25X1	
	Completion Date		
25X1	The study group still holds to July 1975 for submitting the final study report to you and the Secretary of Defense. This date could slip to later in the summer.		
25X1	I will keep you informed.		
25X1			

8

